



GREEN SPACES SURVEY CONSULTATION REPORT

July 2025



LOCAL COUNCIL
AWARD SCHEME
QUALITY GOLD

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	2
INTRODUCTION	3
DEMOGRAPHY	4
RESPONDENT AGE.....	4
RESPONDENT GENDER	4
DISABILITY	5
TOWN COUNCIL WARD.....	5
USE AND AVAILABILITY OF GREEN SPACE	6
USE OF KEY SPACES.....	6
USING GREEN SPACES.....	8
SATISFACTION WITH GREEN SPACES.....	9
DEMAND FOR SPACE TYPES.....	11
IMPROVEMENTS AND GAPS.....	13
FACILITIES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE	18
QUALITY OF FACILITIES FOR DIFFERENT AGES.....	18
QUALITY OF PLAY AREAS.....	20
SKATEPARK	23
CHILDREN’S POSTCARD COMPETITION	23
CONCLUSIONS	26
SITE SPECIFIC CONSULTATION	27
CROSTOWN COMMUNITY ORCHARD	27
THE MOOR.....	28
THE HEATH.....	30
LIST OF TABLES	32
LIST OF FIGURES	33

INTRODUCTION

This report concludes the public consultation undertaken between 30th May and 12th July 2024. The aim of the consultation was to gather views about the provision, quality and variety of Knutsford's green spaces. The consultation was designed to feed into the development of a Green Space Strategy by the Town Council and to inform future investment and priorities.

The consultation was promoted in local media, via the council's e-mailing list and online. A sponsored social media post was used alongside regular posts on the council's Facebook and Instagram were used to promote the survey. Alongside the survey, a postcard competition was held with primary school children which also aimed to promote the survey to parents via school book bags. Paper surveys were available at the Library and The Welcome. 366 surveys were completed.

The five existing Knutsford Friends' groups were invited to contribute a section to the consultation. Crosstown Community Orchard, Friends of the Heath and Friends of the Moor provided questions.

Some qualitative analysis of text responses was undertaken using Google Gemini AI.



DEMOGRAPHY

RESPONDENT AGE

Age data was provided for responses. Table 1 shows the percentage of responses compared with approximate age profiles from the 2021 census data. As is common, under 17s were under represented whilst middle-aged adults were over represented. Older residents and young adults were represented commensurate with the population. The number of responses in the 0-10 and 11-17 categories was low (n:6 each) meaning data from those ages may not be accurate.

Age Range	Respondent %	Census % ¹
0-10	1.7	11.1
11-17	1.7	11.8
18-35	12.2	11.9
36-65	62.5	40.6
66+	21.9	24.6

Table 1 Respondent Profile: Age

RESPONDENT GENDER

Age data was provided for responses. Table 2 shows the percentage of respondents by gender. It highlights that the majority of responses were from women, which is common in surveys.

Gender	Respondent %
Male	30.6
Female	65.3
Non-Binary	0.3
Prefer not to say	3.8

Table 2 Respondent Profile: Gender

¹ Approx. Census five year ages run 0-4, 5-9 etc

DISABILITY

Respondents were asked if they have a disability which impacts how they use green spaces. Table 3 shows the percentage of respondents and compares with census data showing the survey is broadly representative.

Disability	Respondent %	Census %
Yes	11.0	16%
No	89.0	84%

Table 3 Respondent Profile: Disability

TOWN COUNCIL WARD

Respondents were asked to provide their postcode to allow more detailed analysis of data. Postcodes were matched with postcode data for town council wards. This is largely accurate, but there are some streets where a postcode is shared between two wards (e.g. on Westfield Drive). Table 4 shows the breakdown of responses by ward, this is compared with the expected % based on approximate census data. Other comprises responses from non-residents who completed the survey. Response rates in most wards is broadly commensurate with population, except for St John's Wood where there is a notably lower response rate.

Ward	Respondent %	Census %
Cross Town	24.59%	23.48%
Bexton and Town Centre	24.32%	25.76%
Nether	13.11%	14.39%
Norbury Booths	19.67%	19.70%
St John's Wood	10.11%	16.67%
Other	8.20%	N/A

Table 4 Respondent Profile: Council Ward



USE AND AVAILABILITY OF GREEN SPACE

USE OF KEY SPACES

The survey asked respondents to indicate how often they used the town's main green spaces and parks, including those represented by Friends groups; table 5 details the responses.

Unsurprisingly, The Moor and Tatton Park were known by all respondents and the Heath and Dog Wood known by practically all. The majority of respondents were not aware of the Lawrence Way play area which is a new space created on the Tabley Park development. Most open spaces were reasonably well known, though better publicity/awareness of some spaces could be advantageous.

The Moor is the most used space with almost 90% of respondents using it regularly, followed by Tatton Part (86%), The Heath (73%) and Dog Wood (64%). The Longridge Rec. is the least used amongst those who are aware of it, with 53% of respondents never using it, followed by the fields on Longridge (49%), College Wood (41%) and Southfields play area (40%). Almost everyone uses the Moor and Tatton Park at least occasionally.

Green Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less Often	Never	Not Aware
The Barncoft	6.04%	10.99%	7.14%	16.21%	24.18%	35.44%
College Wood	1.10%	3.02%	6.87%	21.15%	40.66%	27.20%
Crosstown Community Orchard	2.20%	6.87%	15.93%	28.30%	35.16%	11.54%
The Heath	17.49%	28.96%	26.50%	22.68%	3.55%	0.82%
Lawrence Way Play Area	0.27%	3.01%	3.84%	4.38%	30.41%	58.08%
Dog Wood	6.06%	24.24%	33.61%	30.03%	4.41%	1.65%
Longridge Recreation Ground	1.37%	3.29%	5.75%	21.10%	53.42%	15.07%
Fields on Longridge / North Downs	2.49%	6.35%	10.22%	20.99%	48.90%	11.05%
The Moor	19.23%	48.90%	21.70%	9.07%	1.10%	0.00%
Southfields Play Area	1.10%	3.57%	5.49%	11.26%	40.38%	38.19%
St John's Wood	2.20%	7.69%	9.89%	24.73%	33.52%	21.98%
Tatton Park	10.66%	41.26%	34.43%	12.84%	0.82%	0.00%

Table 5 Frequency of Use of Main Green Spaces

Tables 6 and 7 show regular use/non-use by ward. Unsurprisingly, this shows that use of spaces increases where they are in close proximity to users, for example whilst only 19.78% respondents use St John's Wood regularly, 50% of the respondents from St John's Wood ward do so, whilst 97.92% of

respondents in Nether ward use the Heath regularly compared with 48.65% of respondents from St John's Wood ward. This highlights the importance of providing a network of green spaces in close proximity to residents.

Green Space	Cross Town	Nether	Norbury Booths	Bexton and TC	St John's Wood	All
The Barncoft	47.78%	8.33%	16.67%	18.18%	27.78%	24.18%
College Wood	27.78%	4.17%	5.56%	3.41%	11.11%	10.99%
Crosstown Community Orchard	47.78%	14.58%	13.89%	9.09%	52.78%	25.00%
The Heath	66.67%	97.92%	75.00%	79.78%	48.65%	72.95%
Lawrence Way Play Area	2.22%	16.67%	4.17%	6.74%	13.89%	7.12%
Dog Wood	76.67%	58.33%	72.22%	56.82%	55.56%	63.91%
Longridge Recreation Ground	14.44%	2.08%	2.78%	4.49%	44.44%	10.41%
Fields on Longridge / North Downs	41.11%	0.00%	6.94%	4.60%	58.33%	19.06%
The Moor	94.44%	91.67%	94.44%	87.50%	83.33%	89.84%
Southfields Play Area	11.11%	0.00%	4.17%	4.55%	50.00%	10.16%
St John's Wood	26.67%	10.42%	13.89%	12.50%	50.00%	19.78%
Tatton Park	87.78%	91.67%	91.67%	84.27%	72.97%	86.34%

Table 6 Regular (daily, weekly and monthly) use of spaces by ward

Green Space	Cross Town	Nether	Norbury Booths	Bexton and TC	St John's Wood	All
The Barncroft	14.44%	35.42%	27.78%	27.27%	16.67%	24.18%
College Wood	26.67%	52.08%	56.94%	39.77%	27.78%	40.66%
Crosstown Community Orchard	17.78%	47.92%	50.00%	43.18%	13.89%	35.16%
The Heath	7.78%	0.00%	2.78%	1.12%	5.41%	3.55%
Lawrence Way Play Area	27.78%	27.08%	33.33%	31.46%	30.56%	30.41%
Dog Wood	1.11%	4.17%	1.39%	6.82%	8.33%	4.41%
Longridge Recreation Ground	36.67%	70.83%	70.83%	65.17%	13.89%	53.42%
Fields on Longridge / North Downs	22.22%	70.83%	66.67%	63.22%	11.11%	48.90%
The Moor	0.00%	0.00%	1.39%	0.00%	0.00%	1.10%
Southfields Play Area	40.00%	50.00%	48.61%	38.64%	19.44%	40.38%
St John's Wood	25.56%	43.75%	44.44%	37.50%	5.56%	33.52%
Tatton Park	1.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.70%	0.82%

Table 7 Non-use of spaces by Ward

USING GREEN SPACES

A series of questions sought to better understand how respondents use green spaces. The first asked the reasons people use green space, enabling users to choose from multiple options, the data is shown in table 8.

Reasons Use Space	% of Respondents
Fresh Air	82.19%
Exercise	62.74%
Connect with nature	53.15%
Relaxation	49.86%
Play Areas	47.67%
Meeting friends/socialising	45.21%
Exercising a Dog	35.34%
Events	22.74%
Sport/Games	19.73%
Community Activity	16.99%
Growing Food/foraging	6.30%

Table 8 Reasons for use of green spaces

Respondents were asked how they access green spaces, the results are summarised in table 9 which shows that the vast majority access spaces by foot with around 20%-25% accessing by bicycle and car.

How Accessed	% of Respondents
Car	24.86%
Walking	94.75%
Cycling	18.51%
Wheelchair	1.93%
Pram	9.39%

Table 9 How respondents access green space

Respondents who indicated they had a disability that affected their use of green spaces were asked to explain the impact. Of the responses to this question the key themes were a need for benches for rest – both at spaces and on routes to them and the importance of good paths for accessibility. Other issues included the need for toilets, spaces not being too crowded and desiring close parking. A deaf user highlighted the challenge of not being able to hear cyclists on paths, a parent with autistic children highlighted the importance of spaces with controlled/limited exits.

SATISFACTION WITH GREEN SPACES

ISSUES AFFECTING ENJOYMENT

Just under 50% of respondents stated they had encountered issues/problems that affected their enjoyment of green spaces. 140 responses provided further information on this which is summarised in table 10. The most common response was about poor maintenance (many mentioning the fencing around the Moor play area as an example). Dog fouling and litter were further prevalent issues. Restricted access responses often cited loss of access to Windmill Wood following recent changes to access. Lack of provision included missing specific facilities (e.g. for older children or football). Tatton Park responses were around the timing of access, pedestrian access and the blue/green algae preventing swimming.

Issue Raised	# of Respondents
Maintenance	31
Dog Fouling	30
Litter	26
Restricted Access	25
ASB	21
Path Issues	13
Access	12
Lack of provision	12
Dog Issues	12
Drainage	11
Tatton Park	10
Other	8
Lack of mowing	8
Specific	8
Obstruction	5
Lack of Benches	4
Lack of lighting	4

Table 10 Issues and Problems

SATISFACTION WITH EXISTING GREEN SPACES

Respondents were asked to indicate their satisfaction with the town's current green spaces. Table 11 provides an overview of responses with analysis by age, gender and ward. Overall, there is a net positive satisfaction score of 46.72%, with 63.11% of respondents indicating they are either satisfied or very satisfied with 16.39% indicating they are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Satisfaction is reduced for those indicated they have a disability, down to just 15.79%. Women are more satisfied with spaces than men, and the 11-17 age group are the least satisfied with a net satisfaction of 16.67%. Net satisfaction is lowest in St John's Wood ward and Nether ward and highest in Cross Town. Respondents from outside Knutsford have a particularly high satisfaction score.

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied / Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Net Satisfaction
Total	40	191	75	48	12	46.72%
Disabled	2	15	10	9	2	15.79%
Male	11	56	21	19	5	38.39%
Female	28	127	50	28	6	50.63%
0-10	0	5	1	0	0	83.33%
11-17	1	1	3	1	0	16.67%
18-35	6	23	12	2	1	59.09%
36-65	21	119	42	33	10	43.11%
66+	11	39	16	12	1	46.84%
Cross Town	12	46	22	8	2	53.33%
Bexton and Town Centre	12	47	16	12	2	50.56%
Nether	6	24	5	10	3	35.42%
Norbury Booths	6	37	16	10	3	41.67%
St John's Wood	1	17	9	8	2	21.62%
Other	3	20	7	0	0	76.67%

Table 11 Satisfaction by demography

SPACES MEETING NEEDS

Table 12 shows responses to the question “do spaces meet your needs”. At a high level, the overall response was 64% stating yes, however analysis by demographic reveals notable variation:

- Respondents with disabilities - 41%
- Under 17s – 33%
- St John’s Wood – 57%

	Yes	No	Unsure
Total	64.29%	23.08%	12.64%
Disabled	40.54%	40.54%	18.92%
Male	64.29%	25.00%	10.71%
Female	64.14%	21.94%	13.92%
0-10	33.33%	0.00%	66.67%
11-17	33.33%	50.00%	16.67%
18-35	68.18%	20.45%	11.36%
36-65	60.89%	25.33%	13.78%
66+	75.32%	18.18%	6.49%
Cross Town	64.44%	24.44%	11.11%
Bexton and Town Centre	62.50%	22.73%	14.77%
Nether	66.67%	22.92%	10.42%
Norbury Booths	61.11%	20.83%	18.06%
St John's Wood	56.76%	29.73%	13.51%
Other	82.76%	17.24%	0.00%

Table 12 Do Spaces Meet your needs?

DEMAND FOR SPACE TYPES

Respondents were asked to indicate if Knutsford had the right amount, too many or too few of a range of open space typologies. Across all space types, “too many” was only answered by a minority² and has therefore been excluded from the data reporting to aid clarity.

Figure 1 shows the response across the nine typologies. For three typologies, the majority of respondents consider Knutsford has too few of the space: community growing spaces (58%), recreation grounds (58%) and play areas for ages 7-12 (60%). the lowest demand is for amenity green space (32%) and formal parks and gardens (33%).




Figure 1 Bar chart of typologies demand

Further analysis of responses for “too few” reveals there is a slight variation in responses across age groups:

- 54% of over 66s expressing the need for more allotments
- 67% of 11-17s and 68% of 18-35s expressing the need for more recreation grounds
- 59% of 18-35s also expressing the need for more play areas for ages 2-6

Whilst response for 0-10 and 11-17 may not be wholly representative, these ages gave notably lower “too few” responses for formal parks and gardens, natural/semi-natural spaces and woodlands. 83% of 0-10s indicated demand for community growing spaces and play areas for ages 7-12 and no 11-17s indicated there were too few amenity green spaces.

Those who answered “too few” for allotments were asked a follow up question of whether they have or wish to have an allotment, and then if they were already on the waiting list. Of the 141 “too few” respondents, 123 did not have an allotment and 41 wanted an allotment, 28 of which were not on existing waiting lists.

² <0.84% for all typologies except formal parks and gardens, which was 1.1% of respondents.




Figure 2 Bar chart of differences in "Too Few" by age and gender

Similar analysis by ward shows some variation in demand by ward, with greater demand for recreation grounds in Cross Town, Norbury Booths and St John's Wood wards; greater demand for play areas for ages 2-6 in Nether and St John's Wood wards and greater demand for Woodland in Norbury Booths ward; Norbury Booths have recently lost significant access to a woodland which may have influenced this result.




Figure 3 Bar chart of differences in "Too Few" by ward

Previous studies have noted a deficit of equipped play space in the south-west of Knutsford. This area sits within larger wards, i.e. it is the lower part of the Bexton and Town Centre ward which spans a large segment of the town and forms part of the Norbury Booths ward. A more detailed analysis was therefore undertaken by polling districts (sub-sections of wards) for the south west of Knutsford: districts 3BD1 (Bexton Road-Westfield Drive), 3BE1 (Ashworth Park-Bexton Lane) and 3BDF1

(Beggarmans Lane to Grove Park). The key data (significant variation from the ward of which it is constituent) from this additional analysis is:

- 50% of 3BD1 indicated there are too few formal parks/gardens
- 71% of 3BD1 indicated there are too few recreation grounds
- 61% of 3BE1 indicated there are too few natural/semi-natural spaces
- 67% of 3BE1 and 73% of 3BF1 indicated there are too few play areas for ages 7-12

IMPROVEMENTS AND GAPS

Respondents were asked to identify what improvements should be made to open spaces. Responses were categorised, and those with an incidence of 10+ are contained in table 13.

Option	% selected
Improved Maintenance	15.83%
Additional Benches / Picnic Tables	10.04%
Sports Facilities	8.88%
Provision for older children	8.11%
Improvements to Paths	7.34%
Ecological Enhancements	8.11%
Additional Play Areas	5.79%
Additional Bins	4.63%
Reducing Litter	4.63%
Tatton Park Improvements (access, reduced restrictions)	4.63%
Tackling Dog Fouling	4.25%
Skate Facilities	4.25%

Table 13 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (total)

Other responses included *inter alia* outdoor gyms, improved lighting, enhanced cleaning, increased accessibility, more planting, changes to mowing regimes (collecting, reducing, increasing), drainage, planting, dog free spaces, dog specific spaces reduced ASB, increased awareness and planned activity.

Respondents were asked to select from 16 options of things that may encourage them to use green spaces more often, with an option to specify 'other'. 350 respondents completed this question, table 14 details the results, with over half of respondents choosing improved seating and active sport facilities.

Option	% selected
More/Better Seating	53.14%
Informal Active Sport Facilities (e.g. Goal Posts, Netball Court etc)	50.86%
More Wildlife / Ecological Areas	48.57%
Casual/Social Sport Facilities (e.g. Table Tennis, Chess Boards, Petanque etc)	42.29%
More Play Equipment	38.57%
Better Maintenance	38.00%
Better Paths	35.43%
Improved Cleanliness	33.71%
More Community events/activity	30.57%
Safer Access by Foot / Cycle	29.43%

Outdoor Gym Equipment	29.43%
Shelters/Bandstands	20.29%
CCTV Coverage	19.43%
Cycle Racks	16.00%
Feeling more welcome	13.43%
Facilities Closer to My home	13.43%
Other	4.86%

Table 14 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (total)

Further analysis was undertaken by demographic. Analysis by age gave a high variance across most aspects in the <17 age groups, whilst it is noted that these were a very small sample size, the group was more attracted by play equipment, cycle racks and facilities closer to home. 11-17 also had a much higher attraction from for outdoor gyms and active sport facilities. The 18-35 age group had greater attraction to the average in wildlife (65%), play (53%), safe access (42%) and improved maintenance (49%). As they comprised the majority of respondents, there was no significant variation in the 36-65 age group. Play was less of an attractor for over 66s (14%), along with active sport (35), events (17%), outdoor gyms (17%) and safe pedestrian access (17%).

There was no significant variation by gender.

Disabled respondents were more encouraged by better paths (54%), safer pedestrian/cycle access (46%) and shelters (35%). Whilst improved cleanliness (22%) and play (27%) were less of a factor.

There was no significant variation by ward except in St John's Wood where greater influence was highlighted in improved maintenance (70%), cleanliness (49%), improved paths (49%), more seating (68%), events (43%) and facilities being close to home (32%).

	More Wildlife / Ecological Areas	Improved Cleanliness	Safer Access by Foot / Cycle	Cycle Racks
Total	48.57%	33.71%	29.43%	38.00%
Disabled	56.76%	21.62%	45.95%	27.03%
Male	48.08%	38.46%	27.88%	45.19%
Female	48.05%	31.17%	29.87%	34.20%
0-10	83.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%
11-17	40.00%	60.00%	40.00%	40.00%
18-35	65.12%	39.53%	41.86%	48.84%
36-65	42.47%	31.96%	30.59%	38.36%
66+	54.93%	32.39%	16.90%	33.80%
Cross Town	47.13%	31.03%	31.03%	37.93%
Bexton and Town Centre	50.62%	29.63%	32.10%	33.33%
Nether	53.19%	34.04%	31.91%	40.43%
Norbury Booths	45.07%	38.03%	28.17%	32.39%
St John's Wood	51.35%	48.65%	24.32%	70.27%
Other	44.44%	22.22%	22.22%	18.52%

Table 15 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part A)

	Active Sports (e.g. goals, netball etc)	Outdoor Gym	More Welcoming	Improved Paths
Total	50.86%	29.43%	13.43%	35.43%
Disabled	43.24%	24.32%	16.22%	54.05%
Male	45.19%	22.12%	12.50%	40.38%
Female	54.55%	33.33%	12.99%	30.74%
0-10	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%
11-17	100.00%	80.00%	40.00%	60.00%
18-35	60.47%	32.56%	20.93%	44.19%
36-65	54.34%	32.42%	12.79%	29.68%
66+	35.21%	16.90%	7.04%	45.07%
Cross Town	55.17%	31.03%	11.49%	36.78%
Bexton and Town Centre	46.91%	22.22%	17.28%	35.80%
Nether	55.32%	27.66%	8.51%	29.79%
Norbury Booths	53.52%	29.58%	12.68%	32.39%
St John's Wood	40.54%	37.84%	18.92%	48.65%
Other	48.15%	37.04%	11.11%	29.63%

Table 16 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part B)

	Events/Activity	Closer to Home	Social Sport (e.g. table tennis, chess etc)	Improved Seating
Total	30.57%	13.43%	42.29%	53.14%
Disabled	37.84%	13.51%	40.54%	59.46%
Male	25.00%	9.62%	34.62%	46.15%
Female	32.90%	14.72%	46.32%	55.84%
0-10	33.33%	16.67%	33.33%	33.33%
11-17	20.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%
18-35	44.19%	18.60%	51.16%	60.47%
36-65	32.88%	12.79%	44.29%	52.05%
66+	16.90%	7.04%	32.39%	54.93%
Cross Town	25.29%	4.60%	45.98%	44.83%
Bexton and Town Centre	24.69%	7.41%	40.74%	55.56%
Nether	38.30%	10.64%	40.43%	46.81%
Norbury Booths	32.39%	18.31%	45.07%	56.34%
St John's Wood	43.24%	32.43%	32.43%	67.57%
Other	29.63%	25.93%	44.44%	55.56%

Table 17 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part C)

	CCTV Coverage	More Play Equipment	Shelters / Bandstands	Other
Total	19.43%	38.57%	20.29%	4.86%
Disabled	21.62%	27.03%	35.14%	16.22%
Male	14.42%	29.81%	18.27%	4.81%
Female	22.51%	42.42%	21.65%	4.33%
0-10	33.33%	100.00%	50.00%	0.00%

11-17	20.00%	80.00%	20.00%	0.00%
18-35	20.93%	53.49%	27.91%	2.33%
36-65	19.63%	41.10%	17.35%	4.11%
66+	16.90%	14.08%	22.54%	8.45%
Cross Town	27.59%	32.18%	24.14%	5.75%
Bexton and Town Centre	13.58%	34.57%	20.99%	7.41%
Nether	10.64%	48.94%	19.15%	6.38%
Norbury Booths	19.72%	38.03%	14.08%	1.41%
St John's Wood	27.03%	45.95%	18.92%	5.41%
Other	14.81%	44.44%	25.93%	0.00%

Table 18 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part D)

Respondents were asked if they believe anything is missing from Knutsford's green space provision. Table 19 analyses the responses by demographic. It shows that just over half (53%) believe there is something missing; the responses were reasonably consistent across the demographic categories (noting the low number of responses for 0-17)

	Yes	No
Total	52.62%	47.38%
Disabled	47.37%	52.63%
Male	50.00%	50.00%
Female	52.54%	47.46%
0-10	50.00%	50.00%
11-17	16.67%	83.33%
18-35	40.91%	59.09%
36-65	58.67%	41.33%
66+	44.74%	55.26%
Cross Town	55.06%	44.94%
Bexton and Town Centre	50.00%	50.00%
Nether	52.08%	47.92%
Norbury Booths	58.33%	41.67%
St John's Wood	56.76%	43.24%
Other	34.48%	65.52%

Table 19 Is anything missing from Knutsford's green space provision?

The follow up question asked respondents to identify what is missing. 165 respondents provided a response and responses were categorised, those with a frequency of more than five are summarised in table 20.

Missing	#
Facilities for Teens	35
Sports Facilities	34
Skatepark	22
Play Equipment / Improvement	22
Outdoor Gym	16

Benches	13
Community Growing	10
Wildlife Measures	9
Woodland Access	8
Activity	6
Floral planting	6
Accessibility improvements	6

Table 20 Top answers to what is missing from green space provision?

The most common sport facilities suggested were Basketball (12), Football (11) and Tennis (11).



FACILITIES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE

This was an optional section of the survey which sought views on provision of facilities for young people and the quality of play areas.

QUALITY OF FACILITIES FOR DIFFERENT AGES

Respondents were asked to rate the quality of facilities for three age groups. Responses have been analysed by gender, age and ward of the respondents with a net satisfaction score³, this analysis is shown in tables 21-23.

Overall, the quality of facilities for ages 2-6 was satisfactory with an average net satisfaction of 31%. Satisfaction was lower in St John's Wood ward.

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	154	7.14%	38.31%	40.26%	11.69%	2.60%	31.17%
Male	43	4.65%	39.53%	39.53%	11.63%	4.65%	27.91%
Female	108	8.33%	37.96%	39.81%	12.04%	1.85%	32.41%
0-10	2	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
11-17	2	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
18-35	13	7.69%	7.69%	69.23%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%
36-65	119	5.88%	42.86%	37.82%	10.92%	2.52%	35.29%
66+	18	11.11%	27.78%	38.89%	16.67%	5.56%	16.67%
Cross Town	35	8.57%	37.14%	42.86%	8.57%	2.86%	34.29%
Bexton and Town Centre	30	3.33%	43.33%	36.67%	13.33%	3.33%	30.00%
Nether	18	11.11%	27.78%	50.00%	11.11%	0.00%	27.78%
Norbury Booths	35	11.43%	31.43%	40.00%	14.29%	2.86%	25.71%
St John's Wood	26	3.85%	34.62%	42.31%	15.38%	3.85%	19.23%
Other	10	0.00%	80.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	80.00%

Table 21 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 2-6

The quality of facilities for ages 7-12 was unsatisfactory with net satisfaction of -13%. No ward had a positive net satisfaction score with the lowest (-26%) in Nether ward and highest (-3%) in Cross Town ward.

³ This is calculated by the sum of the % responses for Excellent/Good, less the sum of the % responses for poor/terrible.

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	154	2.60%	20.78%	40.26%	31.82%	4.55%	-12.99%
Male	43	4.65%	16.28%	34.88%	39.53%	4.65%	-23.26%
Female	109	1.83%	22.94%	41.28%	29.36%	4.59%	-9.17%
0-10	2	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
11-17	2	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
18-35	12	0.00%	25.00%	33.33%	33.33%	8.33%	-16.67%
36-65	119	1.68%	20.17%	42.02%	31.93%	4.20%	-14.29%
66+	19	0.00%	15.79%	42.11%	36.84%	5.26%	-26.32%
Cross Town	34	0.00%	29.41%	38.24%	26.47%	5.88%	-2.94%
Bexton and Town Centre	30	0.00%	23.33%	36.67%	36.67%	3.33%	-16.67%
Nether	19	10.53%	10.53%	31.58%	42.11%	5.26%	-26.32%
Norbury Booths	26	0.00%	20.00%	42.86%	31.43%	5.71%	-17.14%
St John's Wood	25	4.00%	16.00%	36.00%	40.00%	4.00%	-24.00%
Other	11	9.09%	18.18%	72.73%	0.00%	0.00%	27.27%

Table 22 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 7-12

The quality of facilities for ages 13-18 was scored lower still, with a net satisfaction of -76%, with all wards having a negative satisfaction score (lowest score was for Nether with -89%, highest for Norbury Booths with -72%).

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	153	0.65%	3.27%	16.34%	41.83%	37.91%	-75.82%
Male	43	2.33%	4.65%	13.95%	37.21%	41.86%	-72.09%
Female	107	0.00%	2.80%	17.76%	43.93%	35.51%	-76.64%
0-10	2	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	-50.00%
11-17	2	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
18-35	13	0.00%	0.00%	23.08%	30.77%	46.15%	-76.92%
36-65	118	0.00%	2.54%	15.25%	44.07%	38.14%	-79.66%
66+	18	0.00%	11.11%	11.11%	38.89%	38.89%	-66.67%
Cross Town	34	0.00%	0.00%	17.65%	41.18%	41.18%	-82.35%
Bexton and Town Centre	30	0.00%	6.67%	13.33%	43.33%	36.67%	-73.33%
Nether	18	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	33.33%	55.56%	-88.89%
Norbury Booths	36	0.00%	2.78%	22.22%	50.00%	25.00%	-72.22%
St John's Wood	25	0.00%	4.00%	12.00%	40.00%	44.00%	-80.00%
Other	10	10.00%	10.00%	20.00%	30.00%	30.00%	-40.00%

Table 23 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 13-18

104 respondents answered the follow up question of how could facilities for young people be improved. Table 24 summarises the most common responses where skate facilities (skateboarding, BMX, Scooter) and sport facilities (tennis, basketball, general sports) were the most common response.

Response	Freq. #
Skatepark	22
Sports	21
Activities	7
More	7
Dedicated Safe Space	7
More Imaginative	7
Youth Club	6
Football	6
Maintenance	5
Shelter	5
Outdoor Gym / Fitness	5

Table 24 Suggestions for Improved Facilities

QUALITY OF PLAY AREAS

Respondents were asked to rate the four Knutsford play areas. Responses have been analysed by gender, age and ward of the respondents with a net satisfaction score and these analyses are detailed in tables 17-20.

The Moor is a well-regarded play area scoring an average net satisfaction score of 49%. The new play area at Lawrence Way likewise had a positive net satisfaction score of 41%, potentially in part due to the fact this is a very recently (<2 years) installed play area so benefits from being in excellent repair.

Southfields play area had a net satisfaction score of -32%, and this was notably higher for St John's Wood ward respondents (the ward in which it is located) at -43%. The Longridge play area was also negative with a score of -21% (-32% in St John's Wood respondents).

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	160	11.88%	49.38%	26.88%	10.63%	1.25%	49.38%
Male	42	19.05%	40.48%	19.05%	21.43%	0.00%	38.10%
Female	114	9.65%	52.63%	28.95%	7.02%	1.75%	53.51%
0-10	2	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
11-17	2	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
18-35	13	7.69%	69.23%	23.08%	0.00%	0.00%	76.92%
36-65	120	10.00%	52.50%	25.00%	11.67%	0.83%	50.00%
66+	21	19.05%	19.05%	42.86%	14.29%	4.76%	19.05%
Cross Town	37	16.22%	54.05%	21.62%	5.41%	2.70%	62.16%
Bexton and Town Centre	31	6.45%	41.94%	35.48%	16.13%	0.00%	32.26%
Nether	20	15.00%	30.00%	40.00%	15.00%	0.00%	30.00%
Norbury Booths	35	11.43%	48.57%	22.86%	14.29%	2.86%	42.86%
St John's Wood	27	11.11%	55.56%	25.93%	7.41%	0.00%	59.26%
Other	10	10.00%	80.00%	10.00%	0.00%	0.00%	90.00%

Table 25 Satisfaction with The Moor play area

Four respondents to this section stated they do not use the Moor play area.

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	56	3.57%	8.93%	42.86%	37.50%	7.14%	-32.14%
Male	15	6.67%	13.33%	53.33%	20.00%	6.67%	-6.67%
Female	39	2.56%	7.69%	38.46%	43.59%	7.69%	-41.03%
0-10	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
11-17	2	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
18-35	8	0.00%	0.00%	62.50%	37.50%	0.00%	-37.50%
36-65	39	2.56%	12.82%	35.90%	38.46%	10.26%	-33.33%
66+	6	0.00%	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	-33.33%
Cross Town	20	0.00%	15.00%	40.00%	40.00%	5.00%	-30.00%
Bexton and Town Centre	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	-100.00%
Nether	3	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Norbury Booths	2	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
St John's Wood	23	4.35%	4.35%	39.13%	43.48%	8.70%	-43.48%
Other	6	16.67%	0.00%	50.00%	33.33%	0.00%	-16.67%

Table 26 Satisfaction with the Southfields play area

106 respondents to this section stated they do not use the Southfields play area.

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	27	11.11%	33.33%	51.85%	3.70%	0.00%	40.74%
Male	11	27.27%	9.09%	63.64%	0.00%	0.00%	36.36%
Female	16	0.00%	50.00%	43.75%	6.25%	0.00%	43.75%
0-10	1	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
11-17	2	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
18-35	4	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%
36-65	16	12.50%	37.50%	43.75%	6.25%	0.00%	43.75%
66+	4	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%
Cross Town	7	0.00%	14.29%	85.71%	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%
Bexton and Town Centre	6	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%
Nether	5	40.00%	60.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Norbury Booths	1	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
St John's Wood	5	0.00%	60.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%	40.00%
Other	3	33.33%	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%

Table 27 Satisfaction with the Lawrence Way play area

132 respondents to this section stated they do not use the Lawrence Way play area.

Respondent	#	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor	Terrible	Net Satisfaction
Total	62	2	8	29	17	6	-20.97%
Male	16	1	3	6	6	0	-12.50%
Female	44	1	5	23	9	6	-20.45%
0-10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11-17	2	1	0	1	0	0	50.00%
18-35	9	0	0	6	3	0	-33.33%
36-65	42	1	7	18	11	5	-19.05%
66+	8	0	1	4	2	1	-25.00%
Cross Town	21	0	4	9	6	2	-19.05%
Bexton and Town Centre	3	0	0	2	1	0	-33.33%
Nether	4	1	0	3	0	0	25.00%
Norbury Booths	3	0	0	3	0	0	0.00%
St John's Wood	25	0	4	9	8	4	-32.00%
Other	6	1	0	3	2	0	-16.67%

Table 28 Satisfaction with the Longridge play area

98 respondents to this section stated they do not use the Longridge play area.

Respondents were asked to outline what makes spaces the rated as Good/Excellent so, of the 29 responses the most common response was the standard of maintenance (10) and range of equipment (10) with other factors including proximity to home/services, availability of equipment and the quality of the equipment.

Example comments:

- The good use of space for different ages, and different sections of the park allow for different users to keep out of each other's way e.g. no dogs in the play area
- Moor is so easy to access with young children and parking and coffee, ice cream nearby
- We have used Lawrence way many times, it's new and is always available.
- The Moor being the best, lots for children to do and close to town.

Respondents were asked to outline what makes spaces the rated as Poor/Terrible so, of the 39 responses the most common response was the standard of maintenance (21), the need for new or additional equipment (11) and the range of equipment (11). Other factors were poor provision for disabled children, lack of benches, ASB, poor ground conditions and lack of fencing.

Example comments:

- Always looks a bit over grown and tired. I worry about what the kids might find in there.
- Longridge Community space - all items installed on the wonk and not promptly repaired - (zip wire) Concrete tunnel should be removed. Bins should all be closed tops and more of them. More seating required.

- Unfortunately sometimes quite a few broken bits of equipment not fixed for lengthy periods- puts you off going again - that area I think has a lot of potential though to improve
- Unimaginative and often pieces of equipment out of order for long periods awaiting repair. Floor surface poor and too few benches for carers.
- Need checking regular for damage or graffiti

SKATEPARK

A final question was designed to assess demand for a skatepark (suitable for skateboarding, scooter, BMX etc) and asked, “would you or your family use a skatepark in Knutsford?”

Of the 159 respondents, 58.5% indicated they would use a skatepark, 13.8% were unsure and 27.7% would not. This is represented in figure 4.




Figure 4 Pie chart showing potential use of a skatepark

CHILDREN’S POSTCARD COMPETITION

Alongside the survey, a competition was run through the four primary schools (age 4-11) asking children to “draw their perfect park”. The main objective of this competition was to promote the survey to parents, but the drawings also form a useful data source of what is special for children.

The 129 drawings were reviewed and the elements in them were coded to summarise the drawings, this summary is shown in table 29.

It is unsurprising that traditional park equipment (swings, slides, roundabouts, monkey bars etc) was the most prominent feature as this is what most children would think of when asked about a “park”. The other elements give an indication of what else is important to children.

Feature	Frequency
Traditional Park Equipment	92
Trees	26
Water Features / Ponds	22
Benches	17
Football	16
Climbing Walls	14
Animals	13
Flowers	9
Other Sports	9
Ice Cream / Refreshments	8
Skatepark / Bike Track	6
Dogs	6
Allotments/Gardens	4
Bins	4
Music	3
Paths	2
Fitness Equipment	2
Toilets	1

Table 29 Summary of Perfect Park drawing features





Figure 5 Example pictures from the competition



CONCLUSIONS

The survey has highlighted the following:

1. The Moor, Heath and Tatton Park are the most well-used open spaces for Knutsford residents.
2. As would be expected, open spaces are more used by those living close to them
3. The top reasons for using green space are fresh air, exercise and connecting with nature
4. The vast majority of users access green space by walking, under a quarter access by car and just under 20% access by cycle
5. 50% of users feel there is something missing from the town's green space provision
6. Improvements to spaces should include additional seating, sport facilities, ecological improvements and improved maintenance as well as consideration of the needs of disabled users (such as the need for path access)
7. The types of open spaces most in demand are community growing spaces, recreation (sports) grounds and play areas for 7-12s.
8. There is greater demand for allotments in the over 66s, and play areas for 2-6s in under 35s
9. Existing space generally meets people's needs, but this is less so for disabled people, under 17s and in St John's Wood ward
10. Lack of maintenance, dog fouling and littering are the top issues affecting enjoyment
11. There is satisfaction for play areas for ages 2-6, but facilities for 7-12 and 13-18 are unsatisfactory
12. The play areas at The Moor and Lawrence Way are considered good, the play areas at Southfields and Longridge are considered poor.
13. The factors that make a space good or bad are consistent – maintenance, quality and range of equipment and proximity to users
14. Responses persistently highlighted that there is a lack of provision for teenagers, sport facilities, and play facilities in some areas
15. There is demand for a skatepark amongst potential users



SITE SPECIFIC CONSULTATION

CROSSTOWN COMMUNITY ORCHARD

Crosstown Community Orchard provided a section of questions which respondents could choose to answer. 106 respondents completed this section.

The first question asked the main reasons people visit the orchard with a list of six options. Relaxation (65%) and Wildlife (57%) were the most common responses. Table 30 outlines the results in full.

Feature	#	%
Relaxation	69	65.09%
Wildlife	60	56.60%
Visiting the Coffee Van	40	37.74%
Events	30	28.30%
Meeting Friends/Socialising	16	15.09%
Volunteering	7	6.60%

Table 30 Reasons for using the orchard

Respondents were asked their favourite thing about the orchard. This was an open text question and there were 78 responses. The themes from the comments are categorised in table 31.

Feature	#	%
Nature and Aesthetics	30	38.46%
Relaxation and Wellbeing	18	23.08%
Community / Social	17	21.79%
The creation of the space	14	17.95%
Fruit / Foraging	8	10.26%
Wildlife and Biodiversity	5	6.41%
Educational aspects	2	2.56%

Table 31 Favourite things about the orchard

A further open text question asked how the orchard could be improved. There were 44 responses, and the feedback can be summarised with the following common responses:

- Improved mowing regimes

- Path improvements (accessibility)
- Addressing dog fouling (in the meadow)
- More edible planting (e.g. herbs, vegetables)
- More wildflowers
- More events and activity (e.g. adult education, foraging, ecological stewardship)
- More educational information boards

Respondents were then asked if they have any other comments or suggestions about the orchard, its events and activities. There were 26 responses, the common themes of which can be summarised as:

- Improved advertising of the events
- More events (not specified)
- Workshops on e.g. winemaking, cordial making
- Activity for children in school holidays e.g. bug hunts, flower pressing, nature education

THE MOOR

Friends of the Moor provided a section of questions which respondents could choose to answer. 284 respondents completed this section.

The first question asked respondents to select from a list which features of the Moor they use most regularly. Responses are set out in Table 32, with the strongest responses for the open field (68%) and benches (64%).

Feature	#	%
Open Field	193	68.0%
Benches	182	64.1%
Play Area	159	56.0%
Picnic Tables	154	54.2%
Shelter	45	15.8%
Trim Trail	33	11.6%

Table 32 Features most regularly used on the Moor

Respondents were asked to list their three favourite things about the Moor. Data was analysed to extract single responses i.e. where an individual listed two/three things which would be categorised the same, they were only categorised as one. The categorised responses are set out in Table 33.

Feature	#	%
Moor Pool	144	57.8%
Play area	135	54.2%
Open space/views	133	53.4%
Benches/seating/picnic tables	67	26.9%
Location	61	24.5%
Wildlife	41	16.5%
Community/social aspects	24	9.6%
Other facilities / amenities	25	10.0%

Maintenance/appearance/safety	19	7.6%
Paths	20	8.0%
Trim Trail	4	1.6%

Table 33 Favourite aspects of the Moor

Respondents were asked to list three improvements for the Moor. There were 217 respondents to this question. Unique answers from respondents were extracted and categorised. Table 34 contains the categorised data. The most frequent response was for improved/additional play features, which included facilities for older children, upgrades to equipment and a larger play area.

Improvement	#	%
Improved/Additional Play Features	81	37.33%
Maintenance & Cleanliness	56	25.81%
Seating & Rest Areas	50	23.04%
Fencing	40	18.43%
Drainage & Flooding	30	13.82%
Other	29	13.36%
Additional Features	27	12.44%
CCTV	25	11.52%
Safety & Security	22	10.14%
Planting	21	9.68%
Lighting	21	9.68%
Moor Pool	20	9.22%
Sports Facilities	17	7.83%
Outdoor Gym	15	6.91%
Play Area Maintenance	10	4.61%
BMX/Skateboarding	9	4.15%
Dogs – problems/facilities	8	3.69%
Toilets	8	3.69%
Events	7	3.23%
Issues outside the Moor	5	2.30%

Table 34 Improvements for the Moor

Friends of the Moor set out its policy that:

“the majority of the Moor should be open to enable different people to use the Moor in different ways at the same time. We do not support planting in the centre of the Moor or the installation of further hardstanding or equipment that would reduce the openness of the Moor”.

and asked if respondents support this policy. 82% (232) respondents supported this policy (18% | 52 opposed).

Friends of the Moor identified three suggestions that had been made to improve the Moor and asked respondents to indicate if they supported them, 243 responses were provided, as set out in table 35.

Improvement	#	%
Planting more spring flowering bulbs	205	84.36%
Creating a sensory garden	167	68.72%
More planting in the wet area by the main path	134	55.14%

Table 35 Support for identified improvements on the Moor

THE HEATH

Friends of the Heath provided a section of questions which respondents could choose to answer. 257 respondents completed this section.

The first question asked how people currently use/enjoy the Heath, with a list of four options and an “other”. Table 36 details the responses. General recreation was the most common response (67%). Of the “other” responses, 25 respondents expanded on the answer with 11 stating walking, 9 stating events and 2 stating running and 1 each stating nature, woodland and volunteering.

Use	#	%
Recreation	172	66.93%
Dog Walking	95	36.96%
Family Picnics	39	15.18%
Other	38	14.79%
Games	31	12.06%

Table 36 How people currently enjoy and use the Heath

Respondents were then asked to select from five options for how they would wish to see the Heath looked after and maintained, including opportunities for improvement. Table 37 details the responses; the strongest response was for improved grass mowing (66%); 44 (17%) of respondents selected both grass mowing and areas left to meadow.

Other responses included improvements to railings (6), dog fouling (6), issues associated with the fair (5) and additional seating (4).

Option	#	%
Grass mowing	170	66.15%
Litter management	151	58.75%
Areas left to meadow	107	41.63%
Tree maintenance	80	31.13%
Other	35	13.62%

Table 37 How people currently enjoy and use the Heath

Friends of the Heath highlighted that the Heath is currently host to four main events (Royal May Day including the funfair, circus, Lion’s Street Fair and Scouts Car Boot). It then asked if there are other

events/activities people would like to see on the Heath and if there were any activities people would not like to see on the Heath. 100 respondents provided event/activities they would support, 22 respondents stated they would not support any more events. Table 38 summarises responses.

Event / Activity Type	#	%
Music Events / Festivals	37	37%
Other	17	17%
Markets	13	13%
Food Festivals	9	9%
Sports Events	9	9%
Family	9	9%
Nature Events	5	5%
Car Boot	5	5%
Cinema	5	5%

Table 38 Events/Activities supported on the Heath

69 provided events/activities they would not support, 6 responded saying there were no events they would not support. Table 39 summarises responses.

Event / Activity Type	#	%
Fair	24	34.78%
Circus	8	11.59%
Large Events	5	7.25%
Commercial Events	4	5.80%
Music Festivals/Events	3	4.35%
Markets	3	4.35%
Car Boots	3	4.35%
Food Festivals	2	2.90%
Raves	2	2.90%
Cinema Events	1	1.45%
Family Events	1	1.45%

Table 39 Events/Activities opposed on the Heath

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Respondent Profile: Age.....	4
Table 2 Respondent Profile: Gender.....	4
Table 3 Respondent Profile: Disability	5
Table 4 Respondent Profile: Council Ward.....	5
Table 5 Frequency of Use of Main Green Spaces	6
Table 6 Regular (daily, weekly and monthly) use of spaces by ward	7
Table 7 Non-use of spaces by Ward	7
Table 8 Reasons for use of green spaces	8
Table 9 How respondents access green space	8
Table 10 Issues and Problems	9
Table 11 Satisfaction by demography.....	10
Table 12 Do Spaces Meet your needs?	10
Table 13 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (total)	13
Table 14 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (total)	14
Table 15 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part A).....	14
Table 16 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part B)	15
Table 17 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part C).....	15
Table 18 Ways to encourage use of green spaces (demographic, part D)	16
Table 19 Is anything missing from Knutsford's green space provision?.....	16
Table 20 Top answers to what is missing from green space provision?	17
Table 21 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 2-6	18
Table 22 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 7-12	19
Table 23 Satisfaction with facilities for ages 13-18.....	19
Table 24 Suggestions for Improved Facilities	20
Table 25 Satisfaction with The Moor play area.....	20
Table 26 Satisfaction with the Southfields play area	21
Table 27 Satisfaction with the Lawrence Way play area.....	21
Table 28 Satisfaction with the Longridge play area.....	22
Table 29 Summary of Perfect Park drawing features	24
Table 30 Reasons for using the orchard	27
Table 31 Favourite things about the orchard	27
Table 32 Features most regularly used on the Moor	28
Table 33 Favourite aspects of the Moor.....	29
Table 34 Improvements for the Moor.....	29
Table 35 Support for identified improvements on the Moor	30
Table 36 How people currently enjoy and use the Heath	30
Table 37 How people currently enjoy and use the Heath	30
Table 38 Events/Activities supported on the Heath.....	31
Table 39 Events/Activities opposed on the Heath	31

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Bar chart of typologies demand	11
Figure 2 Bar chart of differences in "Too Few" by age and gender	12
Figure 3 Bar chart of differences in "Too Few" by ward	12
Figure 4 Pie chart showing potential use of a skatepark	23
Figure 5 Example pictures from the competition	25